**Tibouchina papyrus** (Pohl) Toledo, 1952 (Melastomataceae): Distribution extension to the northern part of Brazilian Cerrado
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**ABSTRACT:** New records of *Tibouchina papyrus* for Mato Grosso State extend its distribution in the northern part of the Brazilian Savanna, contributing to the conservation of this species and the areas in which it occur.

Melastomataceae is a rich family with about 4,500 species distributed mainly in the Neotropics (Melastomataceae 2011). In Brazil, there are approximately 1,500 species and the family is well represented in most of its vegetation formations. The great plasticity of Melastomataceae species and their life-form diversity allowed them to occupy different niches and promoted the diversification of the group. Therefore, they can be found in a wide range of habitats, from rainforests to savannas, and also in very harsh environments such as cerrado rupestre (rupestrian savanna) and campos rupestres (rocky fields) (Romero and Martins 2002).

Campo rupestre is a physiognomy dominated by herbs and shrub species, usually occurring in altitudes higher than 900 m whereas cerrado rupestre is characterized by shrub-tree species, both presenting several species adapted to nutrient poor soils and seasonal droughts (Ribeiro and Walter 2008). In the Brazilian campo rupestre there are about 366 species of Melastomataceae, many of them with restricted occurrence or endemic (Romero and Martins 2002; Montoro and Santos 2007; Mendonça et al. 2008).

*Tibouchina papyrus* (Pohl) Toledo, which has as synonyms *Tibouchina papyrifera* Cogn. and *Lasiandra papyrus* Pohl, has a restricted geographical distribution and is usually reported as endemic to cerrado and campo rupestres in Goiás state, central Brazil (Montoro and Santos 2007; Miranda et al. 2007; Guimarães 2010). This species is popularly known as “pau-papel” (“paper wood”) due to its exfoliating bark which looks like thin paper sheets flaking off from the trunk. Moreover, besides this peculiar feature its exuberant long flowering period (five months) makes it a species with an excellent ornamental potential (Montero and Santos 2007; Telles et al. 2010) (Figure 1).

A new record for this species was done in a cerrado rupestre at Parque do Bacaba in Nova Xavantina, Mato Grosso state where few individuals with lower heights (1.50 m) and lower diameter (3 cm) were observed in the beginning of the reproductive period (Figure 1). The respective voucher was incorporated to the collection of Herbário NX (collection number: NX 9900). This record extends the geographic distribution of *T. papyrus* for the northern part of the Brazilian Savanna. In fact, considering that recently *T. papyrus* was reported to occur at Serra da Natividade, Tocantins state (Collevatti et al. 2012), here we report the second occurrence of this species outside

![Figure 1. *Tibouchina papyrus* (NX 9900) from cerrado rupestre of Nova Xavantina, Serra do Roncador, MT. Photo by J. R. R. Pinto.](image-url)
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Goiás state and at altitudes lower than 650 m (Figure 2 and Table 1). The cerrado rupestre of Nova Xavantina is part of the Serra do Roncador vegetational complex and lies within the conservation unit of Parque do Bacaba (about 500 hectares) at an altitude of about 340 m. The soil of cerrado rupestre of Parque do Bacaba is classified as litholic, with irregular terrain and intense rocky outcrop (Maracahipes et al. 2011) which is similar to the soils of the cerrado rupestre at Serra do Pirineus and Serra Dourada. Serra dos Pirineus is a State park whereas Serra Dourada is a private property (Miranda et al. 2007; Moura et al. 2007). The greatest distance among these four locations, where *T. papyrus* has been recorded, is about 600 km (Nova Xavantina, MT to Serra da Natividade, TO) and the shorter distance is about 75 km (Serra dos Pirineus and Serra Dourada). The present record of *T. papyrus*, in altitudes lower than 650 m and in the Cerrado-Amazon Forest transition is an important addition to expanding its distribution, indicating that this species also can occupy different altitudinal strata. The exclusive occurrence of *T. papyrus* in environments with rocky outcrop suggest that this species is a habitat specialist (Table 1) with disjunct distribution of its populations in Cerrado (Figure 2).

Studies investigating the population structure (Miranda et al. 2007; Montoro and Santos 2007; Moura et al. 2007; 2010, Santos et al. (in press) and genetics (Telles et al. 2010; 2011; Collevatti et al. 2012) of *T. papyrus* are scarce. However, this species was not recorded in any of the 376 areas of Cerrado and Amazonian savannas studied by Ratter et al. (2003). This species has only been recorded in campo rupestre and cerrado rupestre at altitudes higher than 850 m in Serra Dourada, Serra dos Pirineus, in Goiás

![Figure 2. Map showing the records of *Tibouchina papyrus* (Pohl) Toledo for the State of Goiás (GO), Tocantins (TO), and the new record for the State of Mato Grosso (MT).](image)

**Table 1.** Occurrences of *Tibouchina papyrus* in the Brazilian Cerrado.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SITE</th>
<th>LATITUDE - SOUTH/ LONGITUDE - WEST</th>
<th>PHYSIOGNOMY</th>
<th>ALTITUDE</th>
<th>AUTHOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nova Xavantina, MT (Serra do Roncador)</td>
<td>14°42'485&quot;/52°21'082&quot;</td>
<td>Cerrado rupestre</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piranhas, GO (Serra Negra)</td>
<td>16°26'550&quot;/51°53'580&quot;</td>
<td>Cerrado rupestre</td>
<td>810</td>
<td>Abreu et al. (in press)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mossâmides, GO (Serra Dourada)</td>
<td>16°04’716”/50°11’303&quot;</td>
<td>Campo rupestre</td>
<td>993</td>
<td>Telles et al. 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mossâmides, GO (Serra Dourada)</td>
<td>16°04’410”/50°10’587”</td>
<td>Campo rupestre</td>
<td>1,005</td>
<td>Telles et al. 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mossâmides, GO (Serra Dourada)</td>
<td>16°02’010”/50°03’410”</td>
<td>Cerrado rupestre</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>Miranda et al. 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cocalzinho de Goiás, GO (Serra dos Pirineus)</td>
<td>15°48’48/48’45</td>
<td>Cerrado rupestre</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>Pinto et al. 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pirenópolis, GO (Serra dos Pirineus)</td>
<td>15°48’31”/48°49’399”</td>
<td>Cerrado rupestre</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>Santos et al. 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pirenópolis, GO (Serra dos Pirineus)</td>
<td>15°47’710”/48°49’960”</td>
<td>Cerrado rupestre</td>
<td>1,355</td>
<td>Moun et al. 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pirenópolis, GO (Serra dos Pirineus)</td>
<td>15°48’420”/48°52’400”</td>
<td>Cerrado sensu stricto</td>
<td>1,310</td>
<td>Moun et al. 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pirenópolis, GO (Serra dos Pirineus)</td>
<td>15°46’50”/48°48’53”</td>
<td>Campo rupestre</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>Montoro and Santos 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pirenópolis, GO (Serra dos Pirineus)</td>
<td>15°47’338”/48°58’722”</td>
<td>Campo rupestre</td>
<td>1,242</td>
<td>Telles et al. 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natividade, TO (Serra da Natividade)</td>
<td>11°40’220”/47°41’540”</td>
<td>Cerrado rupestre</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>Collevatti et al. 2012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
state, and 650 m in Serra da Natividade, in Tocantins state. One potential explanation for such disjunct populations is the fact that there are still few studies on cerrado rupestres in the northern part of the biome. Also, Maracahipes et al. (2011) showed that to better characterize the flora of cerrado rupestres it is necessary to use a minimal trunk diameter of 3 cm at 30 cm above soil level instead of the 5 cm currently used. Thus, it remains an opened question whether this species was not included in others inventories because of the inclusion criteria and its low density.

In relation to its threat of extinction, *T. papyrus* is considered vulnerable but likely to become critically endangered or extinct in short time due to its small population size and restricted occurrence (Biodiversitas 2011). Even by showing that its occurrence is larger than previously thought this should not remove the species from this category because it still has low density and only four occurrence points were confirmed. Nevertheless, in Serras dos Pirineus and Serra Dourada high values of genetic diversity were recorded for *T. papyrus* populations (Telles et al. 2010, Collevatti et al. 2012). Thus, the intensification of studies in other areas of cerrado rupestre and campo rupestre can reveal the existence of other populations. Such studies will be relevant to assess the real geographical distribution of this species and to determine with more precision its actual conservation status. Only then management actions can be carried out for conservation and preservation of this endemic and rare Cerrado species.
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